Soft contributions to the shear and bulk viscosities

Dmitri Antonov

Depto. de Fisica & CFIF, IST, UT Lisboa

EMMI workshop, 19.03.10

Dmitri Antonov (CFIF, IST)

Soft contributions to η and ζ

MMI workshop, 19.03.10 1 / 31

Contents

- Experimental indications.
- General properties of the viscosities.
- The Kubo formulae.
- Calculation of the viscosities.
- The results.
- Concluding remarks.

RHIC data exhibit strong collective phenomena in the asymmetric azimuthal distribution around the beam axis:

$$p_0 \frac{d^3 N}{dp^3}\Big|_{p_z=0} = v_0(p_\perp) \left[1 + 2v_2(p_\perp)\cos(2\phi) + 2v_4(p_\perp)\cos(4\phi) + \cdots\right],$$

where
$$(p_x, p_y, p_z) = (p_\perp \cos \phi, p_\perp \sin \phi, p_z).$$

The large elliptic flow $v_2 \simeq 0.06$ cannot be described just by two-body interactions between partons.

Particles of different mass are emitted from the fireball with a common fluid velocity.

Relativistic hydrodynamics reproduces v_2 very well, up to $p_{\perp} \sim 1.5 \,\text{GeV}$ (P. Huovinen, U.W. Heinz, '01).

Experimental indications

 $L_{\rm mfp}$ of a parton, which traverses an (ideal quantum) liquid is much smaller than the thermal wavelength $\sim \beta \equiv \frac{1}{T}$, i.e.

Instead, in the dilute-gas model of the QGP,

 $L_{\mathrm{mfp}}^{\mathrm{gas}} \sim (\rho \sigma_t)^{-1},$

 $\frac{L_{\rm mfp}^{\rm nq.}}{\beta} \ll 1.$

where $\rho \sim T^3$ is the particle-number density, $\sigma_t \sim g_T^4 \beta^2 \ln g_T^{-1}$ is the Coulomb transport cross-section, and g_T is the perturbative finite-T QCD coupling

$$\Rightarrow \qquad rac{L_{
m mfp}^{
m gas}}{eta} \sim rac{1}{g_T^4 \ln g_T^{-1}} \gg 1$$

⇒ the experimental results could have only been reproduced by the dilute-gas model if σ_t were larger by an order of magnitude (D. Molnar, M. Gyulassy, '02).

Dmitri Antonov (CFIF, IST)

General properties of the viscosities

Shear viscosity η represents the ability to transport momentum:

$$\frac{\eta}{s} \sim \frac{L_{\rm mfp}}{\beta},$$

where s is the entropy density $\Rightarrow \frac{\eta}{s}$ is large in the dilute-gas model of the QGP, and gets smaller for a strongly interacting QGP.

E.g., for
$$T \sim 200 \,\mathrm{MeV}$$
 and $L_{\mathrm{mfp}} \sim 0.1 \,\mathrm{fm}, \ \frac{\eta}{s} \sim 0.1$.

When a parton propagates through the QGP over the distance $L_{\rm mfp}$, its mean momentum change Δp is $\sim T$

$$\Rightarrow \qquad \frac{\eta}{s} \sim \frac{L_{\rm mfp}}{\beta} \sim L_{\rm mfp} \cdot \Delta p$$

is nonvanishing due to the Heisenberg uncertainty principle $\Rightarrow \eta$ cannot vanish completely.

Dmitri Antonov (CFIF, IST)

General properties of the viscosities

- How small can $\frac{\eta}{s}$ be ?
- What is the temperature behavior of $\frac{\eta}{s}$?

The minimal possible value of $\frac{\eta}{s}$ is conjectured to be that in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM (G. Policastro, D.T. Son, A.O. Starinets, '01):

$$\left. \frac{\eta}{s} \right|_{\mathcal{N}=4\,\mathrm{SYM}} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \simeq 0.08.$$

It is a temperature-independent constant (because $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM is a CFT). Rather, in perturbative QCD (P. Arnold et al., '01, '03),

$$\frac{\eta}{s}\Big|_{\rm pQCD} \sim \frac{1}{g_T^4 \ln g_T^{-1}} \gg 1.$$

Note that plasma instabilities can generate an anomalous viscosity η_A (M. Asakawa, S.A. Bass, B. Müller, '06):

$$\frac{\eta_A}{s} \sim \frac{1}{g_T^{3/2}} < \frac{\eta}{s}\Big|_{\rm pQCD}, \ \ {\rm but} \ \ {\rm still} \ \ \gg 1.$$

For known liquids, $\frac{\eta}{s} \gg 1$ for small and large T, where η is dominated by potential- and kinetic-energy contributions, respectively.

Around the liquid-gas phase transition, these two contributions are nearly equal, and $\frac{\eta}{s}$ has a minimum, corresponding to the most difficult condition to transport momentum.

This behavior is exhibited by liquids of a very different nature, such as helium, nitrogen, and water.

The empirical minima of $\frac{\eta}{s}$ are at least by one order of magnitude larger than $\frac{1}{4\pi}$.

General properties of the viscosities

The energy-momentum tensor of an ideal liquid:

$$\Theta_{\mu\nu} = -\boldsymbol{p}\cdot\boldsymbol{g}_{\mu\nu} + \boldsymbol{T}\boldsymbol{s}\cdot\boldsymbol{u}_{\mu}\boldsymbol{u}_{\nu},$$

where u_{μ} is the velocity of energy transport.

The principal deviation from the ideality:

$$\Delta \Theta_{\mu
u} = \eta \cdot (\Delta_{\mu} u_{
u} + \Delta_{
u} u_{\mu}) + \left(rac{2}{3}\eta - \zeta
ight) H_{\mu
u} \partial_{
ho} u_{
ho},$$

where $H_{\mu\nu} = u_{\mu}u_{\nu} - g_{\mu\nu}$, $\Delta_{\mu} = \partial_{\mu} - u_{\mu}u_{\nu}\partial_{\nu}$.

The bulk viscosity ζ is the other first-order transport coefficient.

While η characterizes a change in shape of a fixed volume, ζ characterizes a change in volume of the liquid of a fixed shape.

Cf. the Navier–Stokes' equation in hydrodynamics:

$$\rho \cdot \left[\frac{\partial \mathbf{v}}{\partial t} + (\mathbf{v}\nabla)\mathbf{v}\right] = -\operatorname{grad} \mathbf{p} + \eta \cdot \Delta \mathbf{v} + \left(\zeta + \frac{\eta}{3}\right) \cdot \operatorname{grad}\operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} \quad \Rightarrow$$

 $-\eta$ enters foremost through $\eta \cdot \Delta \mathbf{v}$;

 $-\zeta$ is relevant only when div $\mathbf{v} \neq \mathbf{0}$, i.e. for compressible liquids.

For helium, nitrogen, and water, $\frac{\zeta}{s}$ has a maximum near the liquid-gas phase transition.

In $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM, $\zeta \equiv 0$ (again because it is a CFT), unlike QCD, where the non-conformality effects are manifest in $\varepsilon - 3p$ up to $T = (2 \div 3)T_c$.

The Kubo formulae

 η and ζ are defined through the spectral densities,

$$\eta = \pi \frac{d\rho_T^{(s)}}{d\omega} \bigg|_{\omega=0}$$
 and $\zeta = \frac{\pi}{9} \frac{d\rho_T^{(b)}}{d\omega} \bigg|_{\omega=0}$,

which can be obtained from the <u>Euclidean Kubo formulae</u> (A. Hosoya et al., '84; F. Karsch & H.W. Wyld, '87)

$$\int_0^\infty d\omega \,\rho_T^{(s),(b)}(\omega) \,\frac{\cosh\left[\omega\left(x_4-\frac{\beta}{2}\right)\right]}{\sinh(\omega\beta/2)} = \int d^3x \,\sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} U_T^{(s),(b)}(\mathbf{x},x_4+\beta n),$$

where

 $U_{T}^{(s)}(\mathbf{x}, x_{4}) = \left\langle \Theta_{12}(0)\Theta_{12}(\mathbf{x}, x_{4})\right\rangle_{T}, \quad U_{T}^{(b)}(\mathbf{x}, x_{4}) = \left\langle \Theta_{\mu\mu}(0)\Theta_{\nu\nu}(\mathbf{x}, x_{4})\right\rangle_{T},$ and in the Yang–Mills theory

$$\Theta_{12} = g^2 F_{1\mu}^a F_{2\mu}^a, \quad \Theta_{\mu\mu} = \frac{\beta(g)}{2g} (F_{\mu\nu}^a)^2.$$

 $\Theta_{\mu
u}$ of the gluon plasma receives contributions from

- stochastic background fields, characterized by $\langle g^2(F_{ii}^a)^2 \rangle_T$ and μ_T ;
- valence gluons, which are confined at large spatial separations.

Such a two-component model of the gluon plasma is efficient to describe – radiative energy loss of a parton traversing the plasma (H.-J. Pirner & D.A., '08);

– pressure and interaction measure $(\varepsilon - 3p)/T^4$ of the plasma (H.-J. Pirner, M.G. Schmidt & D.A., '09).



Figure: The jet quenching parameter $\hat{q}(T)$ for various values of the dimensional-reduction temperature, $T_* = 1.28 T_c$ and $T_* = 2 T_c$.



Figure: Lattice data on the interaction measure $(\varepsilon - 3p)/T^4$ (courtesy of F. Karsch) compared to the prediction of the two-component model.

At $T \gg T_c$, the two contributions become strictly additive:

 $\rho = \rho_{\text{backgr}} + \rho_{\text{pert}},$

and $\rho_{\rm pert} \propto g_T^4 \omega^4$ together with $\langle \Theta_{\mu\nu}(0)\Theta_{\lambda\rho}(x) \rangle_{\rm pert} \propto g_T^4/|x|^8$ can be isolated simultaneously.

This project (to be realized through the Kubo formulae):

– Using the stochastic vacuum model at finite temperature (Yu.A. Simonov, N.O. Agasian, '95 – '08), calculate ρ_{backgr} . To be presented below.

– Calculate the contribution of valence gluons to ρ at $T \sim T_c$.

A reminder on the stochastic vacuum model (SVM).

While QCD sum rules assume $\langle g^2(F^a_{\mu\nu})^2 \rangle$, the SVM additionally assumes a finite correlation length of the vacuum, $\mu^{-1} < \infty$ (Pisa group, '86-'03):

 $\left\langle F^{a}_{\mu
u}(x)F^{b}_{\lambda
ho}(0)
ight
angle \sim \mathrm{e}^{-\mu|x|} \quad \Rightarrow$

the SVM can quantitatively describe confinement with the string tension $\sigma \propto \mu^{-2} \langle g^2 (F^a_{\mu\nu})^2 \rangle$.

The spatial string tension at $T > T_c$:

 $\sigma_s(T) \propto \mu_T^{-2} \left\langle g^2 (F_{ij}^a)^2 \right\rangle_T,$

i.e. the chromo-magnetic vacuum still confines.

The Kubo formulae

Since

$$\Theta_{\mu\nu} = \mathcal{O}\left(g^2 (F^a_{\alpha\beta})^2\right),$$

the expected contributions of the background fields to the viscosities are

$$\eta \propto \zeta \propto \frac{\left\langle g^2 (F_{ij}^a)^2 \right\rangle_T^2}{\mu_T^5},$$

in agreement with

 $\sigma_{\text{total}}^{\text{SVM}} \propto \left\langle g^2 (F_{\mu\nu}^a)^2 \right\rangle^2$ (Heidelberg group, '91 - '03) \Rightarrow at temperatures $T > T_*$,

 $\eta \propto \zeta \propto (g_T^2 T)^3,$

whereas $s \propto T^3$ at $T \gtrsim 2T_c \Rightarrow$

$$\frac{\eta}{s} \propto \frac{\zeta}{s} \propto g_T^6 \quad {\rm at} \quad T\gtrsim 2\,T_c.$$

We get the coefficients in these formulae.

Dmitri Antonov (CFIF, IST)

Notations:

$$\left\langle g^{2}(F_{\mu\nu}^{a})^{2}\right\rangle \equiv \left\langle G^{2}\right\rangle, \ \left\langle g^{2}(F_{ij}^{a})^{2}\right\rangle_{T} \equiv \left\langle G^{2}\right\rangle_{T}, \ \rho_{\mathrm{backgr}} \equiv \rho_{T}, \ \omega_{k} = 2\pi T k.$$

Assuming at T = 0 exponentially falling off Ansätze

$$U_{T=0}^{(s),(b)}(x) = N_{\alpha}^{(s),(b)} \langle G^2 \rangle^2 \cdot \frac{K_{2-\alpha}(M|x|)}{(M|x|)^{2-\alpha}}, \quad \text{where} \quad \alpha > 0,$$

we get at $T > T_c$ the Fourier transformed $(\sum_k e^{i\omega_k x_4} f_k)$ Kubo formulae:

$$\int_0^\infty d\omega \,\rho_T^{(s),(b)}(\omega) \,\frac{\omega}{\omega^2 + \omega_k^2} = \pi^2 2^\alpha \Gamma(\alpha) N_\alpha^{(s),(b)} \left\langle G^2 \right\rangle_T^2 \frac{M_T^{2\alpha-4}}{(\omega_k^2 + M_T^2)^\alpha}. \quad (*)$$

Dmitri Antonov (CFIF, IST)

Lorentzian-type spectral densities

$$\rho_T^{(s),(b)}(\omega) = C_T^{(s),(b)} \cdot \frac{\omega}{(\omega^2 + M_T^2)^{\alpha + \frac{1}{2}}}$$

ensure that both sides of Eq. (*) have the same large-|k| behavior. $M_T \sim \mu_T$ is the momentum scale, below which PT breaks down.

• For $|k| \gg 1$,

LHS of Eq. (*) =
$$\frac{C_T^{(s),(b)}}{\omega_k^{2\alpha}} \left[\frac{\pi}{2\sin(\pi\alpha)} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{M_T^2}{\omega_k^2}\right) + \sum_{i=2}^{\infty} c_i \left(\frac{M_T}{\omega_k}\right)^{i-2\alpha} \right]$$

 \Rightarrow the leading term in the brackets is *k*-independent only for $\alpha < 1$.

RHS of Eq. (*) =
$$\pi^2 2^{\alpha} \Gamma(\alpha) N_{\alpha}^{(s),(b)} \frac{\langle G^2 \rangle_T^2}{\omega_k^{2\alpha}} M_T^{2\alpha-4} \cdot \left[1 + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{M_T^2}{\omega_k^2}\right) \right].$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad \eta\Big|_{|k|\gg 1} = \pi^2 2^{\alpha+1} \Gamma(\alpha) \mathcal{N}_{\alpha}^{(s)} \sin(\pi \alpha) \frac{\left\langle G^2 \right\rangle_T^2}{M_T^5}.$$

Note: $|k| \gg 1$ means $|k| \ge 3$, since $\frac{M_T}{\omega_3} < 0.35$ for any $T > T_c$. • For $|k| \sim 1$ (e.g. k = 0 for $T > T_*$), $\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\omega_k^2}{M_T^2}\right)$ -terms and higher can be disregarded \Rightarrow

$$\eta\Big|_{|k|\sim 1} = \pi^{5/2} 2^{\alpha+1} \Gamma\left(\alpha + \frac{1}{2}\right) N_{\alpha}^{(s)} \frac{\left\langle G^2 \right\rangle_T^2}{M_T^5}.$$

The ratio

$$\frac{\eta\Big|_{|k|\gg1}}{\eta\Big|_{|k|\sim1}} = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha)\sin(\pi\alpha)}{\sqrt{\pi}\Gamma\left(\alpha + \frac{1}{2}\right)} \quad \text{for} \quad 0 < \alpha < 1$$

is equal to 1 at $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$, i.e. $\eta \Big|_{\alpha = \frac{1}{2}}$ is *k*-independent.



For $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$, $\rho_T^{(s),(b)}(\omega)$ take the purely Lorentzian form, with $C_T^{(s),(b)} = (2\pi)^{3/2} N_{1/2}^{(s),(b)} \cdot \frac{\langle G^2 \rangle_T^2}{M_T^3}.$

The coefficients $N_{1/2}^{(s),(b)}$ can be determined via the Gaussian-dominance hypothesis, which disregards the connected parts of $\langle \Theta_{\mu\nu}(0)\Theta_{\lambda\rho}(x) \rangle$.

The SVM parametrizes the remaining two-point functions.

• Retaining only confining self-interactions of the background fields:

$$\begin{split} \left\langle g^2 F^a_{\mu\nu}(x) F^b_{\lambda\rho}(0) \right\rangle &= \frac{\left\langle G^2 \right\rangle}{12} \cdot \left(\delta_{\mu\lambda} \delta_{\nu\rho} - \delta_{\mu\rho} \delta_{\nu\lambda} \right) \cdot \frac{\delta^{ab}}{N_c^2 - 1} \cdot D(x), \\ \text{where } D(x) \to \mathrm{e}^{-\mu|x|}. \text{ The compatibility with } U^{(s)}_{T=0,\alpha=1/2} \text{ is achieved by} \\ D(x) &= \mathcal{A} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{K_{3/2}(2\mu|x|)}{(2\mu|x|)^{3/2}}} \text{ and } \underset{\alpha}{M} = 2\mu. \end{split}$$

Dmitri Antonov (CFIF, IST)

Soft contributions to η and ζ

 $\Rightarrow \quad N_{1/2}^{(s)} = \frac{\mathcal{A}^2}{576}.$ The constant \mathcal{A} is fixed by $\sigma_{\rm f} = \frac{\langle G^2 \rangle}{144} \int d^2 x D(x) \quad \Rightarrow$

$$\mathcal{A} = \frac{4}{\int_0^\infty dz \cdot z^{1/4} \cdot \sqrt{K_{3/2}(z)}} \simeq 1.05 \quad \Rightarrow$$

the shear viscosity

$$\eta = \frac{\pi^{5/2} \mathcal{A}^2}{4608\sqrt{2}} \cdot \frac{\left\langle G^2 \right\rangle_T^2}{\mu_T^5}.$$

Similarly, in the one-loop approximation where $\frac{\beta(g)}{2g} \simeq -\frac{11}{32\pi^2}g^2$, the bulk viscosity

$$\zeta = \frac{\mathcal{A}^2}{1728\sqrt{2\pi^3}} \left(\frac{11}{32}\right)^2 \cdot \frac{\left\langle G^2 \right\rangle_T^2}{\mu_T^5}.$$

• Accounting also for the <u>nonconfining</u> nonperturbative self-interactions of the background fields:

$$\langle g^2 F^a_{\mu\nu}(0) F^b_{\lambda\rho}(x) \rangle = \frac{\langle G^2 \rangle}{12} \cdot \frac{\delta^{ab}}{N_c^2 - 1} \cdot \{\kappa(\delta_{\mu\lambda}\delta_{\nu\rho} - \delta_{\mu\rho}\delta_{\nu\lambda})D(x) +$$

 $+\frac{1-\kappa}{2}\left[\partial_{\mu}(x_{\lambda}\delta_{\nu\rho}-x_{\rho}\delta_{\nu\lambda})+\partial_{\nu}(x_{\rho}\delta_{\mu\lambda}-x_{\lambda}\delta_{\mu\rho})\right]D_{1}(x)\right\}, \text{ where } \kappa \in [0,1].$

• Accounting also for the <u>nonconfining</u> nonperturbative self-interactions of the background fields:

$$\langle g^2 F^a_{\mu\nu}(0) F^b_{\lambda\rho}(x) \rangle = rac{\langle G^2 \rangle}{12} \cdot rac{\delta^{ab}}{N_c^2 - 1} \cdot \{\kappa (\delta_{\mu\lambda} \delta_{\nu\rho} - \delta_{\mu\rho} \delta_{\nu\lambda}) D(x) +$$

$$+\frac{1-\kappa}{2}\left[\partial_{\mu}(x_{\lambda}\delta_{\nu\rho}-x_{\rho}\delta_{\nu\lambda})+\partial_{\nu}(x_{\rho}\delta_{\mu\lambda}-x_{\lambda}\delta_{\mu\rho})\right]D_{1}(x)\big\}, \text{ where } \kappa \in [0,1].$$

Cf. the Wilson loop $\langle W(C) \rangle = \langle \operatorname{tr} P \exp \left(ig \oint_C dx_\mu T^a A^a_\mu \right) \rangle$:

$$\langle W(C) \rangle = \exp \left\{ -\frac{C_2 \langle G^2 \rangle}{96(N_c^2 - 1)} \left[2\kappa \int_{\Sigma_{\min}} d\sigma_{\mu\nu}(x) \int_{\Sigma_{\min}} d\sigma_{\mu\nu}(x') D(|x - x'|) + (1 - \kappa) \oint_C dx_\mu \oint_C dx'_\mu \int_{(x - x')^2}^{\infty} d\xi D_1(\sqrt{\xi}) \right] \right\}.$$

Lattice data (Pisa group) suggest that $D_1(x) = D(x)$, and $\kappa \simeq 0.83$.

 $U_{T=0}^{(s),(b)} \text{ contain terms through } \mathcal{O}\left((1-\kappa)^2\right) \Rightarrow \text{seeking } D(x) \text{ in the form}$ $D(x) = \mathcal{A}_{\kappa} \cdot f_{\kappa}(\mu|x|), \text{ where } f_{\kappa} = f_{\kappa=1} + (1-\kappa)f^{(1)} + (1-\kappa)^2f^{(2)},$ $\mathcal{A}_{\kappa=1} = \mathcal{A} \text{ and } f_{\kappa=1}(z) = \sqrt{\frac{K_{3/2}(2z)}{(2z)^{3/2}}} = \frac{\pi^{1/4}}{2^{7/4}} \cdot \frac{e^{-z}}{z^{3/2}} \cdot (1+2z)^{1/2}.$

 $U_{T=0}^{(s),(b)} \text{ contain terms through } \mathcal{O}\left((1-\kappa)^2\right) \Rightarrow \text{seeking } D(x) \text{ in the form}$ $D(x) = \mathcal{A}_{\kappa} \cdot f_{\kappa}(\mu|x|), \text{ where } f_{\kappa} = f_{\kappa=1} + (1-\kappa)f^{(1)} + (1-\kappa)^2 f^{(2)},$ $\mathcal{A}_{\kappa=1} = \mathcal{A} \text{ and } f_{\kappa=1}(z) = \sqrt{\frac{K_{3/2}(2z)}{(2z)^{3/2}}} = \frac{\pi^{1/4}}{2^{7/4}} \cdot \frac{e^{-z}}{z^{3/2}} \cdot (1+2z)^{1/2}.$ Then

$$f_{\kappa}(z) = \frac{\pi^{1/4}}{256 \cdot 2^{3/4}} \cdot \frac{\mathrm{e}^{-z}}{[z(1+2z)]^{3/2}} \cdot \{128(1+2z)^2 +$$

 $+(1-\kappa)\cdot 16(1+2z)(3+6z+4z^{2})+(1-\kappa)^{2}\cdot [9+4z\cdot(9+z\cdot(7+4z(1+z)))]\}$

 $U_{T=0}^{(s),(b)} \text{ contain terms through } \mathcal{O}\left((1-\kappa)^2\right) \Rightarrow \text{seeking } D(x) \text{ in the form}$ $D(x) = \mathcal{A}_{\kappa} \cdot f_{\kappa}(\mu|x|), \text{ where } f_{\kappa} = f_{\kappa=1} + (1-\kappa)f^{(1)} + (1-\kappa)^2 f^{(2)},$ $\mathcal{A}_{\kappa=1} = \mathcal{A} \text{ and } f_{\kappa=1}(z) = \sqrt{\frac{K_{3/2}(2z)}{(2z)^{3/2}}} = \frac{\pi^{1/4}}{2^{7/4}} \cdot \frac{e^{-z}}{z^{3/2}} \cdot (1+2z)^{1/2}.$

Then

$$f_{\kappa}(z) = \frac{\pi^{1/4}}{256 \cdot 2^{3/4}} \cdot \frac{\mathrm{e}^{-z}}{[z(1+2z)]^{3/2}} \cdot \{128(1+2z)^2 +$$

 $+(1-\kappa)\cdot 16(1+2z)(3+6z+4z^{2})+(1-\kappa)^{2}\cdot [9+4z\cdot(9+z\cdot(7+4z(1+z)))]\Big\}$

The constant \mathcal{A}_{κ} is again fixed via the string tension:

$$\mathcal{A}_{\kappa} = rac{1}{\int_0^\infty dz \cdot z \cdot f_{\kappa}(z)}.$$

In particular,
$$\mathcal{A}_{\kappa=0.83}\simeq 0.97 \Rightarrow \left(rac{\mathcal{A}_{\kappa=0.83}}{\mathcal{A}}
ight)^2\simeq 0.85 \Rightarrow$$

 $\eta^{\kappa=0.83}$ and $\zeta^{\kappa=0.83}$ are by 15% (that is close to 17%) smaller than, respectively, $\eta^{\kappa=1}$ and $\zeta^{\kappa=1}$.

Parameters for the numerical calculation:

- $T_c = 270 \,\mathrm{MeV}.$
- The two-loop running coupling in SU(3) YM:

$$g^{-2}(T) = 2b_0 \ln \frac{T}{\Lambda} + \frac{b_1}{b_0} \ln \left(2 \ln \frac{T}{\Lambda}\right),$$
$$b_0 = \frac{11N_c}{48\pi^2}, \ b_1 = \frac{34}{3} \left(\frac{N_c}{16\pi^2}\right)^2, \ N_c = 3, \ \Lambda = 0.104T_c.$$

• Temperature dependence:

$$\Rightarrow \mu_{T} = \mu \cdot f(T), \ \sigma_{\rm f}(T) = \sigma_{\rm f} \cdot f^{2}(T), \ \left\langle G^{2} \right\rangle_{T} = \left\langle G^{2} \right\rangle \cdot f^{4}(T).$$

where $\mu = 894 \,\mathrm{MeV}$ (Pisa group, '97), $\sigma_{\mathrm{f}} = (440 \,\mathrm{MeV})^2$, $\langle G^2 \rangle = \frac{72}{\pi} \sigma_{\mathrm{f}} \mu^2$.

• Determining T_* from the equation $\sigma_f(T_*) = \sigma_f$, where $\sigma_f(T) = [0.566g^2(T)T]^2$ (Bielefeld group, '93, '96) $\Rightarrow T_* = 1.28 T_c$.

• Entropy density $s(T) = \frac{dp_{\text{lat}}}{dT} \Rightarrow s(T)/T^3$ is nearly constant at $T \gtrsim 2T_c$.



Figure: Entropy density s(T), in the units of T^3 , derived from the lattice values for the pressure (G. Boyd et al., 1996; courtesy of F. Karsch).

Dmitri Antonov (CFIF, IST)

EMMI workshop, 19.0

The results



Figure: Calculated ratios of η/s . Also shown is the conjectured lower bound of $1/(4\pi)$ realized in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM.

EMMI workshop, 19.03.

The results



Figure: Calculated ratios of ζ/s . Also shown are the perturbative values ζ_{pert}/s , where $\zeta_{\text{pert}} = \frac{0.443\alpha_s^2 T^3}{\ln(7.14/g_T)}$ (P. Arnold et al., '06) is extrapolated down to $T = T_c$.

Dmitri Antonov (CFIF, IST)

EMMI works

Concluding remarks

• The calculated soft contribution to η/s falls off rapidly at $T_c < T \leq 2T_c$, and further as $\mathcal{O}(g_T^6)$, gradually crossing $1/(4\pi)$.

• However, at $T \gg T_c$, the perturbative result, with

$$\eta_{\rm NLL} = \frac{T^3}{g_T^4} \cdot \frac{27.126}{\ln \frac{2.765}{g_T}}$$

(P. Arnold et al., '03), takes it over \Rightarrow A minimum of the full η/s should exist at intermediate temperatures (cf. other liquids), yielding the temperature of a possible liquid-gas phase transition.

• For ζ/s , perturbative contributions only enhance the $\mathcal{O}(g_T^6)$ -behavior to the $\mathcal{O}(g_T^4)$ -one.

• At $T \sim T_c$, an interference of nonperturbative contributions, produced by the background fields and by valence gluons, will be studied.

D.A., arXiv:1002.2406 (Annals Phys., in press).

Dmitri Antonov (CFIF, IST)

Soft contributions to η and ζ

Many thanks to the organizers for a very nice and interesting workshop.